

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, JULY 22, 1999
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S072133 Daily Journal Corporation, Plaintiff and Respondent
 v.
 Orange County Superior Court, Defendant and Respondent
 Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. et al.,
 Real Parties in Interest and Appellants
 Robert L. Citron et al., Real Parties in Interest and Respondents
 [W]e reverse the judgment of the Court of Appeal.

Mosk, J.

We Concur:
George, C.J.
Kennard, J.
Baxter, J.
Werdegar, J.
Chin, J.
Brown, J.

2nd Dist. U-Haul International Inc. et al., Petitioners
B133082 v.
Div. 7 Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent
S080691 Wyatt Crandall et al., Real Parties in Interest
 Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.

S066991 People, Respondent
 v.
 Juan Jose Sanchez, Appellant
 On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is
 ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's answer brief on
 the merits is extended to and including August 4, 1999.

S005970 People, Respondent

v.

Joseph William Hart, Appellant

In the above-entitled matter, the court filed its decision on June 1, 1999 (20 Cal.4th 546), because of the following extraordinary and compelling circumstances:

1. The size of the record, which includes more than 5,000 pages of reporter's transcript.
2. The necessity to grant appellant, for good cause, numerous extensions of time within which to correct the record on appeal.
3. The necessity to grant appellant, for good cause, numerous extensions of time within which to submit an opening brief.
4. The necessity to grant respondent, for good cause, numerous extensions of time within which to submit a brief in response.
5. The necessity to grant appellant, for good cause, numerous extensions of time within which to submit a reply brief.
6. The number and complexity of the issues raised on appeal and the extensive nature of the briefing submitted by the parties.